

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,982	10/756,982 01/14/2004		Susumu Inatsugu	MAT-8498US	3712
23122	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA				CAO, HUEDUNG X	
P O BOX 980			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				2821	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	l	·Q
	١	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/756,982	INATSUGU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Huedung X. Cao	2821		

Potoro the Eiling of an Annaal Priof								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Huedung X. Cao	2821						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee large inder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, have reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		004400					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant Amendment	(F10L-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>8 and 14</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-7, 11-13</u> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	d before as a dba dele of Cline a Al	- 4' 6 A 1 - '11	4.5					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	of before or on the date of filing a No ad sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	or be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
13. Other:								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in the final office action that sent outon 01/10/2006, examiner pointed out that Saito (US 6,456;246 B2) teaches "antenna elements each have an electrical length over 1/4 wavelength" in column 1, lines 25-32.not in col. 5 as argued by the Applicant.

TRINH DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER Vruhvodenh

2